

Appl. No. 10/517,403
Reply to Office Action of: April 9, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 12400-027

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 18 2007

II. Remarks

Reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

After entering this amendment, claims 1, 8, 9 and 11-17 remain pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

The examiner indicated the allowability of claims 1, 8, 9, and 11-17 if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. As noted below, claim 1 has been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, thereby placing it condition for allowance. From this it is submitted that claims 1, 8, 9, and 11-17 are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

The examiner objected to claim 1 because of the informality "the airbag module and fastening to..." Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to replace the informality with the phrase "and fastening the airbag module". It is submitted that this objection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The examiner rejected claims 1, 8, 9, and 11-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, on the grounds that the phrase "of the type" of claim 1 renders the claim indefinite. Claim 1 has been amended to replace the phrase "of the type

Appl. No. 10/517,403
Reply to Office Action of: April 9, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 12400-027

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 18 2007

wherein" with the word "comprising". Accordingly it is believed this rejection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6-18-07

Steven L. Oberholtzer
Reg. No.: 30,670
Attorney for Applicant(s)
(734) 302-6000